

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.listp.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,497	02/24/2000	Daniel M. Kinzer	IR-1649(2-1939)	5663
2352	7590 10/24/2002			
OSTROLE	NK FABER GERB & S	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			SEFER, AHMED N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/512,497	KINZER ET AL.	1			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Sefer	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	July 2002 .					
2a)□		his action is non-final.					
3)	·						
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachmen		_					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Calafut (JP 11-284174).

Calafut discloses in fig. 4 Mosgated device comprising a semiconductor substrate of N+ conductivity and having an upper surface; at least first and second invertible vertical channel forming trenches 41 formed through said upper surface and into said substrate for a first depth; a gate oxide 43 coating the interior walls of said at least first and second trenches; channel region of P conductivity disposed adjacent to a portion of the length of the walls of said first and second trenches and to a second depth below said upper surface, said second depth being less than said first depth; a shallow source which extends from said upper surface and into said substrate for a third depth; said third depth being less than said second depth; first and second polysilicon layers filling said at least first and second trenches respectively and which are insulated from said substrate; a plurality of narrow, spaced conductive gate strips 45 disposed atop an insulation gate layer 43 and extending across and contacting conductive bodies 46.

As to claim 13, Calafut discloses a source contact 53 which is fully laterally spaced from an area of an upper surface which is between first and second trenches 41

Art Unit: 2826

and connected to a source region 50 at a location remote from first and second trenches.

As to claims 14 and 20, Calafut discloses a source contact connected to a channel region 49 at said remote location.

As to claims 15-19, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baba et al. US Patent No. 5,321,289 in view of Calafut (JP 11-284174).

Baba et al disclose in fig. 1B a Mosgated device comprising a semiconductor substrate 10 of N+ conductivity and having an upper planar surface; a channel diffusion region 12 of P conductivity which extends into said upper planar surface of said substrate and to a first depth below said surface; a source diffusion 13 of N+ conductivity which extends into said substrate to a second depth which is less than the

Art Unit: 2826

first depth; a plurality of spaced trenches 14 formed into said substrate and into its said planar surface to a third depth below said substrate surface which is greater than said first depth; an insulation gate layer 15 formed on the walls of said plurality of trenches at least in the areas between said first and second depths; conductive gate bodies disposed within the interiors of each of said trenches; a source contact S connected to said source diffusion region at a location on said upper planar surface which is completely laterally removed from said plurality of trenches; a drain contact D connected to said substrate.

Calafut discloses in fig. 4 a plurality of narrow, spaced conductive gate strips 45 disposed atop an insulation gate layer 43 and extending across and contacting conductive bodies 46.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kim's teachings with Baba et al, since that would prevent a snapback.

As for claim 2, Baba et al disclose in fig. 1A a plurality of spaced trenches which are parallel to one another and are coextensive with one another.

As for claim 3, Baba et al disclose in fig. 1A a plurality of spaced trenches formed in a plurality of spaced rows and are parallel to one another and are coextensive with one another within each row.

As to claim 10, Baba el disclose (see col. 2, lines 35-39) an oxide gate insulation layer fully covering the interior of each said trenches and wherein each said conductive bodies is polysilicon which completely fills each of said trench and is insulated from said

Art Unit: 2826

substrate, but does not specifically disclose a thickness range of the insulation layer. However, it would have been obvious to use a thickness range of about 200 Å, since the described limitation would have been considered an optimization or workable range involving a routine skill in the art.

As to claim 11, Baba et al disclose in fig. 1B a source contact connected to a channel region and to a source region.

As to claims 4-9, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calafut (JP 11-284174) in view of Bulat et al. US Patent No. 5,106,770.

Calafut discloses in fig. 4 a Mosgated device comprising a semiconductor substrate of N+ conductivity and having an upper planar surface; a channel diffusion region of P conductivity which extends into said upper planar surface of said substrate and to a first depth below said surface; a source diffusion of N+ conductivity which extends into said substrate to a second depth which is less than the first depth; a plurality of spaced trenches 41 formed into said substrate and into its said planar surface to a third depth below said substrate surface which is greater than said first depth; an insulation gate layer 43 formed on the walls of said plurality of trenches at least in the areas between said first and second depths; conductive gate bodies

Art Unit: 2826

disposed within the interiors of each of said trenches; a source contact 53 connected to said source diffusion region at a location on said upper planar surface which is completely laterally removed from said plurality of trenches; a plurality of narrow, spaced conductive gate strips 45 disposed atop an insulation gate layer 43 and extending across and contacting conductive bodies 46, but does not disclose a drain contact.

Bulat et al disclose a drain contact 32 connected to a substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a drain contact, since that would facilitate a connection to other devices.

As for claim 2, Calafut discloses a plurality of spaced trenches which are parallel to one another and are coextensive with one another.

As for claim 3, Calafut discloses a plurality of spaced trenches formed in a plurality of spaced rows and are parallel to one another and are coextensive with one another within each row.

As to claim 10, Calafut discloses an oxide gate insulation layer fully covering the interior of each said trenches and wherein each said conductive bodies is polysilicon which completely fills each of said trench and is insulated from said substrate, but does not specifically disclose a thickness range of the insulation layer. However, it would have been obvious to use a thickness range of about 200 Å, since the described limitation would have been considered an optimization or workable range involving a routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2826

As to claim 11, Calafut discloses a source contact connected to a channel region

Page 7

and to a source region.

As to claims 4-9, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical

nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where

patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another

variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are

critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS

October 21, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER, LORGE